GGRM

1	DILLON G. COIL, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 11541
2	WILLIAM T. MARTIN, ESQ.
3	Nevada Bar No. 2534
	GGRM LAW FIRM
4	2770 S. Maryland Parkway, Suite 100
	Las Vegas, NV 89109
5	Phone: 702. 384.1616 ~ Fax: 702.384.2990
6	Email: dcoil@ggrmlawfirm.com
0	wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com
7	
	Attornove for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION

.: 2:19-cv-01594-GMN-DJA
TION AND ORDER TO DISCOVERY DEADLINES EQUEST)

ARAMARK SERVICES, INC., a foreign corporation; DOES I through V; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I through V, inclusive,

Defendants.

Pursuant to LR 26-3 and the scheduling order (**Doc. 15**) in this matter, Plaintiff, RAUL HERRERA, by and through his attorneys of record, GGRM Law Firm, and Defendant ARAMARK CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, LLC, incorrectly named in the complaint as ARAMARK SERVICES, INC., (collectively "the Parties") by and through its attorneys, LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP (collectively "the Parties") hereby respectfully submit their Stipulation and Order to Extend Time for Discovery (Sixth Request) pursuant Rules 6(b) and 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-3.

This is the Parties' Sixth Request for an Extension of Time and the same is not brought

N I U 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

for purposes of delay, but rather for the purposes of allowing Plaintiff and Defendant to further pursue settlement negotiations and allow their experts more time to prepare their expert reports. Furthermore, Plaintiff had shoulder surgery on January 13, 2021 and is currently recuperating from that surgery.

This stipulation is brought in compliance with LR 26-3 as it is filed more than 20 days before the expiration of Plaintiff's Initial Expert Disclosure deadline. Due to certain complexities in this case, and in particular, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and resulting governmental and Court precautionary restrictions, the parties jointly request a 90-day extension of the deadline for plaintiff's initial expert disclosure, defendant's initial expert disclosures, rebuttal expert disclosures, and deadline to file motion(s) to add parties or amend pleadings as detailed herein.

REASONS WHY THE DISCOVERY REMAINING WAS NOT COMPLETE WITHIN THE DEADLINES CONTAINED IN THE DISCOVERY SCHEDULING **ORDER**

The extension is sought for the following reasons:

The parties have conducted discovery in a reasonable manner, however, although certain restrictions have been lifted, the COVID-19 environment has slowed down the normal time it takes to conduct discovery as people are working from home and related issues that negatively impact the situation. Furthermore, as previously discussed, Plaintiff had shoulder surgery on January 13, 2021 which he alleges was injured as a result of the subject incident and he is still recuperating. Additional time is needed by both parties to further develop their cases as well as attempt to resolve the case as they have recently had more substantive settlement negotiations.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED to by the Parties that the discovery deadlines in this matter be continued for a period of 90 days to allow the parties additional time to have settlement discussions, for discovery to be completed, to retain and disclose 2345

1

7 8

6

1011

9

12

12

© 14

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

experts. This additional time will also account for the ongoing COVID-19 preventative restrictions and any potential future preventative actions taken by federal, state and local governments should they be implemented.

STATEMENT SPECIFYING THE DISCOVERY THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED.

- 1. The parties participated in the Fed.R.Civ.P 26(f) conference; Parties have made their disclosures and supplements pursuant to Fed.R. Civ. P. 26.1(a)(1);
- 2. Defendant propounded written discovery to Plaintiff.
- 3. Plaintiff responded to Defendant's written discovery.
- 4. Plaintiff propounded written discovery to Defendant.
- 5. Defendant responded to Defendant's written discovery.
- 6. Defendant deposed Plaintiff on January 4, 2021.
- 7. Plaintiff deposed Bryan Inmon on January 22, 2021.
- 8. Plaintiff deposed Defendant's FRCP 30(b)(6) witness on February 9, 2021.
- 9. Defendant deposed a detention center deputy that witnessed the subject incident on February 11, 2021.
- 10. Defendant deposed Plaintiff's workers compensation treating physician on February 24, 2021.
- 11. Site inspections were completed on May 4, 2021.
- 12. Plaintiff deposed Jamie Perez Verdin on August 23, 2021.

SPECIFIC DESCRIPTON OF DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE DONE

- 1. Designation of expert witnesses;
- 2. Designation of rebuttal expert witnesses;
- 3. Fact and witness depositions;
- 4. Plaintiff's treating physicians' depositions;
- 5. Expert witnesses' depositions; and

6. Such other discovery as is permitted.

The Parties are diligently moving forward with discovery. The current deadline for Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure of Experts is October 5, 2021. Accordingly, this request is being brought more than 21 days prior to that date. Here, good cause exists because the parties have been diligent in conducting discovery. However, additional time is needed for the parties to engage in settlement discussions, to complete discovery, to account for the current COVID-19 environment and ongoing protective measures and for Plaintiff to further recuperate from his January 13, 2021 surgery.

PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY

As a result of the above, it is requested that the discovery deadlines be continued 90 days from their present deadlines as follows:

PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY

•	Amend Pleadings/Add Parties	10/05/2021	01/03/2022
•	Plaintiff's Initial Expert	10/05/2021	01/03/2022
•	Defendant's Initial Expert Disclosure	11/03/2021	02/01/2022
•	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	12/07/2021	03/07/2022
•	Discovery Cut-off	11/04/2021	02/02/2022
•	Dispositive Motions	12/06/2021	03/07/2022
•	Joint Pretrial Order	03/07/2022	06/06/2022

5 6 7 **GGRM LAW FIRM** 8 /s/ William T. Martin 9 DILLON G. COIL, ESQ. 10 Nevada Bar No. 11541 WILLIAM T. MARTIN, ESQ. 11 Nevada Bar No. 1494 12 2700 S. Maryland Pkwy, Ste. 100 S 13 N 14 O 14 15 Las Vegas, NV 89109 Attorneys for Plaintiff 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing the Parties respectfully request that this Honorable Court approve this Sixth Stipulation to Extend the Time for Discovery.

DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.

DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & **SMITH LLP**

/s/ Steven L. Foremaster

JAMES E. MURPHY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8586 STEVEN L. FOREMASTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10350 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89118 Attorneys for Defendant



1

2

3

4

23

24

25

26

27

28

8 Respectful
9 GGRM L.
10 /s/ William
11 12 DILLON
Nevada Ba
WILLIAN
Nevada Ba
2700 S. M
Las Vegas
17 18 19 20 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED subject to the following modification. The Joint Pretrial Order deadline is **April 6, 2022**. If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.

DATED this 9th day of September, 2021.

Daniel J. Albregts

Respectfully submitted by United States Magistrate Judge

GGRM LAW FIRM

/s/ William T. Martin

DILLON G. COIL, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 11541

WILLIAM T. MARTIN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 1494

2700 S. Maryland Pkwy, Ste. 100

Las Vegas, NV 89109

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Gianna Mosley

From: Foremaster, Steven < Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2021 11:03 AM

To: Gianna Mosley

Cc: Rebeca Guardado; Will Martin; Murphy, James; Foremaster, Steven

Subject: RE: Herrera vs. Aramark - SAO to Extend Deadlines

Hi Gianna,

We are ok with the dates in the draft SAO. You can use either Mr. Murphy's or my e-signature to submit to the Court.

Foremaster



Steven L. Foremaster Attorney

Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com

T: 702.693.4304 F: 702.893.3789

6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600, Las Vegas, NV 89118 | LewisBrisbois.com

Representing clients from coast to coast. View our locations nationwide.

This e-mail may contain or attach privileged, confidential or protected information intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, any review or use of it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, you are required to notify the sender, then delete this email and any attachment from your computer and any of your electronic devices where the message is stored.

From: Gianna Mosley <gmosley@ggrmlawfirm.com>

Sent: Monday, August 30, 2021 10:03 AM

To: Foremaster, Steven < Steven. Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com >

Cc: Rebeca Guardado <rguardado@ggrmlawfirm.com>; Will Martin <wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com>

Subject: [EXT] RE: Herrera vs. Aramark - SAO to Extend Deadlines

Caution:This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning Counsel,

I am kindly following up regarding the SAO.

Kind regards,



Gianna Mosley

Litigation Assistant

O: 702.384.1616 | F: 702.384.2990 | <u>www.ggrmlawfirm.com</u> 2770 S. Maryland Pkwy., Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89109









From: Gianna Mosley

Sent: Friday, August 27, 2021 11:46 AM

To: 'Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com' <Steven.Foremaster@lewisbrisbois.com>

Cc: Rebeca Guardado < rguardado@ggrmlawfirm.com >; Will Martin < wmartin@ggrmlawfirm.com >

Subject: FW: Herrera vs. Aramark - SAO to Extend Deadlines

Good morning Counsel,

Please review the attached SAO to Extend Deadlines. Please let us know of any revisions and if we may affix your esignature.

Kind regards,



Gianna Mosley

Litigation Assistant

O: 702.384.1616 | F: 702.384.2990 | www.ggrmlawfirm.com 2770 S. Maryland Pkwy., Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89109









